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Introduction

This report documents the results of an archaeological field evaluation carried out at White Acres, Maiden Lane, Cherhill, Wiltshire, SN11 8UZ (SU 0389 7007) (Fig. 1). The work was commissioned by Ms Sue Farr of Armour Heritage Ltd, Foghamshire Timber Yard, Foghamshire Lane, Trudoxhill, Frome, Somerset, BA11 5DG, on behalf of Mr and Mrs Morgan, the homeowners.

A planning application (18/06245/FUL) has been submitted to Wiltshire Council for the construction of two detached dwellings with associated access, parking and landscaping. A field evaluation has been requested in order to inform the planning process with regards to potential archaeological implications. This is in accordance with the Department for Communities and Local Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF 2012), and the County Council’s policies on archaeology. The field investigation was carried out to a specification approved by Ms Melanie Pomeroy-Kellinger, County Archaeologist with Wiltshire Council Archaeology Service. The fieldwork was undertaken by Andy Taylor and Daniel Haddad between 19th and 20th September 2018 and the site code is WAC 18/147. The archive is presently held at Thames Valley Archaeological Services, Reading and will be deposited with Wiltshire Museums in Devizes in due course.

Location, topography and geology

The site is located on a roughly square plot of land in part of the garden of White Acres, on the south-eastern edge of Cherhill (Fig. 1). It is on the western side of Maiden Lane with the A4 on its southern side and residential properties on its northern side (Fig. 2). The underlying geology is mapped as Lower Chalk (BGS 1974), which was observed in all three trenches and the site lies at a height of c.123m above Ordnance Datum on the steep side of the valley of the River’s Brook to the north.

Archaeological background

The archaeological potential of the site has been highlighted by a desk-based assessment (Armour Heritage 2018). In summary, deposits from the Mesolithic onwards have been recorded for the area. Mesolithic findspots
have been recorded to the west and north of the site and a Neolithic causewayed enclosure is located on the western edge of Cherhill overlying a Mesolithic site. Three Bronze Age barrows lie 800m to the south with a beaker and ditches recorded closer to the north-west. Further to the south lies Oldbury Camp Iron Age hillfort. Roman burials were recorded 235m north-west of the site and a Roman villa with mosaic was recorded at the village Church, itself a 15th-century structure with 12th-century origins. Prior to the Norman Conquest Cherhill was part of the King's estate of Calne and is not recorded in Domesday Book.

**Objectives and methodology**

Aims of the evaluation were:

- to clarify the presence/absence and extent of any buried archaeological remains within the site that may be impacted by the development;
- to identify, within the constraints of the evaluation, the date, character, condition and depth of any surviving remains within the site;
- to assess the degree of existing impacts to sub-surface horizons and to document the extent of archaeological survival of buried deposits; and to produce a report presenting the results of the evaluation in sufficient detail to allow an informed decision to be made concerning the site's archaeological potential, and inform an archaeological mitigation strategy.

Three trenches were to be dug, each measuring 1.6m wide and 8m long. These were dug using a small 360° type machine fitted with a toothless grading bucket under constant archaeological supervision. All spoilheaps were monitored for finds. Where archaeological features were certainly or probably present, the stripped areas were to be cleaned using appropriate hand tools. Sufficient of the archaeological features and deposits exposed were be excavated or sampled by hand to satisfy the aims of the brief, without compromising the integrity of any features that might warrant preservation *in situ* or might better be investigated under the conditions pertaining to full excavation.

**Results**

Three trenches were dug measuring 1.5m wide, between 8.40m and 8.90m long and between 0.53m and 0.63m deep. Trenches 1 and 2 had to be slightly re-orientated due to the presence of trees.

A complete list of trenches giving lengths, breadths, depths and a description of sections and geology is given in Appendix 1.
Trench 1
This trench was aligned approximately N-S and measured 8.90m long and 0.63m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of 0.29m of topsoil overlying 0.34m of subsoil overlying natural chalk geology. No deposits nor finds of archaeological interest were revealed.

Trench 2
This trench was aligned NW-SE and measured 8.40m long and 0.56m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of 0.27m of topsoil overlying 0.29m of subsoil overlying chalk natural geology. No deposits nor finds of archaeological interest were revealed.

Trench 3 (Fig. 4; Pls 1 and 2)
This trench was aligned NW-SE and measured 8.70m long and 0.53m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of 0.23m of topsoil overlying 0.30m of subsoil overlying chalk natural geology. Three possible features were investigated.

Ditch 1 was aligned west-east towards the south-east end of the trench. It was 0.97m wide, 0.50m deep and contained two fills (52 and 53). Fill 52 was a light brown grey silty clay that produced six pieces of animal bone and a piece of brick/tile. Fill 53 was a pale yellow grey silty chalky clay but this did not produce any finds.

At the NW end of the trench a second ditch was cutting through a possible pit or tree throw. The ditch (3) measured 0.43m deep and also had two fills (55 and 56). Deposit 55 was a mid brown grey silty clay which did not produce any finds. Fill 56 was a light brown grey silty clay which contained 22 pieces of animal bone and modern concrete. The ditch was cutting pit/tree throw (2), which was 0.15m deep and its light yellow grey silty clay fill (54) and produced an iron nail. A second nail came from the subsoil nearby.

Finds

Metalwork by Danielle Milbank
Two contexts produced metal objects (total 21g). Subsoil layer 51 (trench 3) and deposit (54) each contained a single badly corroded iron nail of uncertain date.

Ceramic Building Material by Danielle Milbank
Ditch 1 (52) contained a small piece of brick which was of broadly post-medieval date. Ditch 3 (56) contained a lump of modern concrete.
Animal Bone by Danielle Milbank

A small assemblage of fragmented disarticulated animal bone comprising 29 pieces (382g) was hand collected from three contexts encountered in the evaluation (Appendix 3). The condition of the pieces was variable, with fragments from ditch 1 in good condition, with minimal fragmentation and little surface erosion, and fairly hard bone fabric, and the remainder of the material in poorer condition with greater fragmentation and weaker fabric.

The bone was categorized according to animal size, large (cattle, horse), medium (sheep/goat, deer, pig) or small (rodent, cat, dog) and where possible identified by species. Identified fragments are discussed below.

From ditch 1 (52), a cat metatarsal and right calcaneus was recovered, in addition to four pieces of long bone from a medium-sized animal (probable sheep-goat).

From ditch 3 (55), a right cattle metapodial unfused proximal end was recovered, indicating a juvenile animal.

Ditch 3 (56) contained 22 pieces weighing 320g. These were fragmented pieces of rib from a large animal, probably cattle, and a cattle right talus bone.

Conclusion

The evaluation identified a small number of features of possible archaeological interest consisting of two ditches and a possible pit or tree throw. The material from ditch 1 suggests a late post-medieval to modern date while ditch 3 contained modern concrete. Pit or tree-throw 2 contained only a nail, also most probably modern or late post-medieval. On the basis of these results the site is considered to have low archaeological potential.
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APPENDIX 1: Trench details

0m at S or W end

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trench</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Breadth (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0-0.29m topsoil; 0.29m-0.63m subsoil; 0.63m+ chalk natural geology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0-0.27m topsoil; 0.27m-0.56m subsoil; 0.56m+ chalk natural geology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0-0.23m topsoil; 0.23m-0.53m subsoil; 0.53m+ chalk natural geology. Ditches 1 and 3, Pit/tree throw 2; Pls. 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 2: Feature details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trench</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Fill(s)</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dating evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52, 53</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Pit/Tree Throw</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Nail; cut by 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55, 56</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Concrete; and cuts 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 3: Catalogue of animal bone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tr</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Deposit</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>No Frags</th>
<th>Wt (g)</th>
<th>Cattle</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Location of site within Cherhill and Wiltshire.

Reproduced under licence from Ordnance Survey Explorer Digital mapping at 1:12500
Crown Copyright reserved
Figure 2. Detailed location of the site.

Land at White Acres, Cherhill, Wiltshire, 2018
Archaeological Evaluation
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Figure 3. Location of trenches.
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Figure 3. Location of trenches.
Figure 4. Detail and sections of Trench 3.
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Figure 4. Detail and sections of Trench 3.
Plate 1. Trench 3, looking north west, Scales: horizontal 2m and 1m, vertical 0.5m.

Plate 2. Trench 3, ditch 1, looking north east, Scales: 1m and 0.5m.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Calendar Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>AD 1901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victorian</td>
<td>AD 1837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Medieval</td>
<td>AD 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>AD 1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxon</td>
<td>AD 410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>AD 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Age</td>
<td>750 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze Age: Late</td>
<td>1300 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze Age: Middle</td>
<td>1700 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze Age: Early</td>
<td>2100 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neolithic: Late</td>
<td>3300 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neolithic: Early</td>
<td>4300 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesolithic: Late</td>
<td>6000 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesolithic: Early</td>
<td>10000 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeolithic: Upper</td>
<td>30000 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeolithic: Middle</td>
<td>70000 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeolithic: Lower</td>
<td>2,000,000 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>